On the relevance of the character "absence of epicoracoid horns" in the systematics of anurans

Moises KAPLAN

Division of Reptiles and Amphibians, Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA <motisska@unuch.edu>

Several authors consider the character "absence of epicoracoid horns" a synapomorphy of the group that includes the anuran families Dendrobatidae. Hyperoliidae, Microhylidae, Ranidae and Bhacophoridae (i.e., Ranoidea). However, others have suggested that this condition is plesionnorphic (i.e., epicoracoid horns are absent in several non-Ranoidea frogs) and that Ranoidea frogs possess epicoracoid horns. The pectoral giviles of several Ranoidea and non-Ranoidea frogs were sectioned histologically, and all had epicoracoid horns. The presence of epicoracoid horns in Ranoidea frogs suggests the character "absence of epicoracoid horns in tanoidea frogs suggests the character "absence of epicoracoid horns" is not a synapomorphy of this group.

INTRODUCTION

Several authors (GRIH-HTIK, 1963, KLI GE & FARRS, 1969; LANCH, 1973; DLILIMAN & TRLHR, 1985) by pothesized that the families Dendrobatidae, Hyperoludae, Microhylidae, Ramidae and Rhacophordae form a monophyletic group supported by the synapomorphyl "absence of epicoracoid horns" (i.e., posteriorly directed processes of the epicoracoid cartilages. GRIPPTINS, 1957, 1960a-b, 1963)

The hypothesis that the character "absence of epicoracoid horns" is a synapomorphy of Ranoldea is questionable, first, because the absence of epicoracoid horns' (Mc Diarsun, 1971, TRUB, 1977, RUT-CARRAN-CA & HERNADEC-CAMATIEN 1976, GRANDBON, 1981, LINER & RUZ-CARRAN-ZA, 1982, MYERS & FORD, 1986, TYSON, 1987) in several non-Ranoidea frogs tice. Arteloptic, Atopophyrum, Buchricephulux, Dendrophyrumscus, Duilynampur synevidel, Mclanophi mixrus, Orenphr neulia, Owinophyrume, Rhunophyrum doradi/s suggests this character is pleyiomorphic at the level of Ranoidea, and second, because it has been suggested (KAPLAN, 1994) that Ranoidea frogs have epicoracoid horns that are fused, indistinguishably, to the sterium However, the absence of epitoracoid horns in non-Ranoidea frogs and ther presence in Ranoidea frogs is controversial (KAPLAN, 1994; TYSON, 1987) and requires confirmation through detailed (i.e., histological) morphological examinations.

Herein, the grdbs of several species of Ranoidea and non-Ranoidea frogs are examined through histological sectioning in order to assess the distribution of the character "absence of epicoracoid horns" in frogs. The hypothesis that the character "absence of epicoracoid horns" is a synapomorphy of Ranoidea is evaluated in light of the new morphological observations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The medial portion of the ventral elements (omosternum, clavicles, procoracoids, espicoricoids, coracoids, sternum) of the pectoral girdle of individuals at utificrent developmental stages (Gossver, 1960, in parentheses) of the following species was sectioned (App. 1). Ranidae: Rana blairi (44, adult); Dendrobatidae: Colosteihus subputeratus (44, 46, adult); Microbithale: Gastrophry ne confinensis (43, 44, adult), Hyperolidae. Razisma sengalonsis (44, adult), Rhacophoridae: Rhacophorus moltrechti (44, adult); Bufonidae. Oreophrynella queckhii (adult), Melanophrymseur stel/neir (adult) and Dendrophrymiscus breipoliteatus (adult); and Brachycephalidae. Bucht cephalus ephapum (adult). Abbreviations for institutions are as follow: ICN, Instituto de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Colombia; UMMZ, University of Michinga Museum of Zoology.

The medial portion of the ventral elements of the pectoral girdles of adults and juveniles was excised by cutting through the right and left procoracoid cartilages, claveles, coracoid bones, and around the omosternum and sternum, when present, the medial portion of the ventral elements of the pectoral girdles was removed, decalcified (Cal-Ex II, Fisher Scientific), and excitoned transversely from the anterior tip of the omosternum to the posterior tip of the sternum, *Buchicephalus* epiphypnium was sectioned from the tip of the snout to the posterior part of the girdle. Tissues were embedded in paraffin (WESSER, 1960), sectioned (15 µm), and stained with hematoxylin cosin. Histological and osteological terminologies follow those of FAWCETT (1986) and De Vituines (1924), respectively.

Herein, I consider the epicoracoid horns to be parts of the epicoracoid cartilages that extend posteriorly to the posteromedial part of the coracoid

RESULTS

Descriptions of the zonosternal articulation (fig 1) of non Ranoidea and Ranoidea frogs



Fig 1 Ventral view of the pectoral girdle of Rana bloant, showing the interaction between the right and left halves as the meet medially cl. classice, co, coracoid, e, epicoracoid, o, omosterium, p. procoracoid, s, sterium; sea, sonosterinal articulation. Grey, bone, clear, cartilage.

NON-RANOIDFA FROGS

In Deudophrinscus brexpolicants, Melanophrinicus stellarer and Orcophrinella quechin, each epicoracoid cartulage bears a tapered, divergent cartulagnous process that extends posterioly from the coracoids (fig 4A-C). In Buchtwephalus ephappiani, the posterior processes of the epicoracoids are short, thun, not diverging from, and firmly attached (but not indistinguishably fused) (i.e. ach other (fig. 4D).

In Orceptiny actile quelchit, the m. sternoeptronscondeus does not usert on the posteror recensus of the posteror processes of the epicoracouls. In Melanophinvirus steriorer, a laterally directed ligament userts on the posteror terminus of each process, the m_sternoeprovident does not usert on the hgaments. In Dendonphinvirus breinpolitativs, a posterorly directed ligament inserts on the posteror terminus of each process, these ligaments are long and extend parallel to the lateral margins of the sternom, the m_sternoepiconcondeus merits on the posteror ends of these ligaments. In Bardwire philar explanation news short, well



Fig.2.— Transverse sections of the zonostermal articulation of the premetamorphic individuals (developmental stage in parenthesis) of the following species: (A) Colostchurs indpaint tatio (46), (B) Guinophrim, carolineris, (43), (C) Ausania strengedensis (44), (D) Rana hairi (44), (L) Rhatophorns multrechti (44), d, Orasi Isernal blade, i, sternal isthmus, p. posterior process of the epicoraeoids, v ventral sternal blade

slips of the *m_sternoepiconcodeds* insert on the posterior termini of the posterior processes of the epicoracoids, no ligament mediates the insertion of the *m_sternacpiconcoideus* on the posterior processes of the epicoracoids.

As seen in sectional view, in Dendrophi i inscuss brevipollicatus, Melanophi vinscus stellmeri and Oceophi vinella quelchii, each lateral margin of the isthmus of the sternum bears a lateral

ALYTES 19 (2-4)

groove formed by dorsal and ventral blades (fig. 4A-C). In Brachycephalus ephippnum, the sternum is absent.

In Dendrophrymscus brevipolicatas, Melanophryniscus stelzneri and Orcophrymella quechui, the posterior processes of the epicoracouds are housed in the sternal grooves (fig. 4A-C). In D. brevapoliticatus, M. stelzneri and O quelchin, the atterior portion of the posterior processes of the epicoracouds has its dorsal, medial and ventral surfaces separated from the stermum by a gap. In D brevipolicatus and M. stelzneri, a thick hagment surrounds and attaches the lateral surfaces of the posterior processes of the epicoracoids to the dorsal and ventral sternal biades; posteriority, the posterior processes of the epicoracoids run parallel to the lateral margins of the sternum for a short distance: in M. stelzneri, the posterior ends of the processes are firmly attached to the sternum by ligaments. In O quelchin, the posterior parts of the posterior processes of the epicoracoids are fused to the sternum.

RANOIDEA FROGS

In premetamorphic individuals of *Colostethus subpanctatus, Gastrophy ne coroluensus, Kussina sonegalensus, Rana blamt and Rhacophorus moltrechti, each epecoracoid cartulage bears a blunt, tapered, vovol (in transverse section), divergent cartulaginous process that extends posteriorly from the coracoids (fg. 2A-E), the <i>m stemoepicoracoideus* (i.e. epicoracoid horn muscle: GRIFTITHS, 1963) does not insert on the posterior terminus of the process. As seen in sectional view, each lateral margin of the stimus of the stemin bears a lateral groove formed by dorsal and ventral blades; the posterior processes of the epicoracoids are housed in the stermal.

In adult individuals of Colostethus subpunctatus, Rana blant, and Rhacophorus moltrethi, the medial surfaces of the posterior processes of the epicoracoids are separated from the sterum by a gap (fig. 3-AC). In R. blant and R. molirechit, the posterior tips of the posterior processes of the epicoracoids are mostly eroded; thus, the posterior parts of the sternal grooves are empty or contain few fragments of the processes. In R. molirechit, the sternal isthmus is thinner (in transverse section) than the posterior processes of the epicorcoids. In Gastrophryne carolinensis and Kasuna senegalensis, the posterior processes of the epicoracoids are indistinguishably fued to the sternum. The ventral sternal blade is absent in Colosterbin submunetatus.

DISCUSSION

All the species of frogs examined exhibit two processes of the epitoracoids that extend posteriorly to the coracoids. In all Ranoidea frogs examined, the posterior processes of the epicoracoids are evident in premetamorphic stages, however, these processes in adults can be partly eroded (e.g., *Rano hlans, Rhacophony moltrechti)* or indistinguishably fixed to the sternum (e.g., *Custrophrine carolineuss and Karsina senzadenus)*. In all non-Ranoidea frogs examined (i.e., *Buckivephalus, ephippium)*. *Deudrophi visios brespoliticatis, Melanophizuri*.



Fig. 3. Transverse sections of the zonosternal articulation of adult individuals of the following species (A) Colosterius subpurctarius (B) Rana blaver, (C) Rhacephores molitectric 14, dorsal sternal blade, 1, sternal attimus, I, fragment of one of the posterior processes of the epicoracoids, 0, empty sternal groover, p. posterior process of the epicoracoidy, 2, gap.

cus stelznen, Oreophrynella quelchu), the posterior processes of the epicoracoids are evident in adults.

The posterior processes of the epicoracoids of all the species here studied must be considered epicoracoid horns because, like the horns of arciferal frogs (e.g., Atraphur, Bido, GRHFTHIS, 1963), they are posterior extensions of the epicoracoid cartilages and show the same topographic relation to other body parts (e.g., they are housed in sternal grooves).

This study challenges previous reports (McDiakanio, 1971; TRUEB, 1973; TYSON, 1987) that epicoracoid horns are absent in Buchycephulhs, Dendrophininteus, Melanophirynuscus and Oreophirynella. The presence of epicoracoid horns in these taxa suggests that all non-Ranoidea frogs have epicoracoid horns (however, note that the presence of epicoracoid horns in taxa such as Osomophyrue, Geohatrachus, Atopophirus, Dulynampis and Rhumophirum, dowalir still needs to be demonstrated), and therefore, that the character "absence of epicoracoid horns" is motil and therefore, that the character "absence of epicoracoid horns" is invalid as a synapomorphy of Ranoidea Because, as this study suggests, all Ranoidea frogs have epicoracoid horns into that the monophyly of



Fig. 4 – Transverse sections of the zonosternal articulation of adult individuals of the following species: (A) Dendrophymrus in brevealloaturi, (B) Michinophymrus or scienci, (C) Oreophynicille quechin, (D) Bruchwephalise ephypium d, dorsal sternal blade: 1. sternal isthmus, p. postenor process of the epicoracoid, v, ventral sternal blade.

Ranoidea is still supported by the characters "epicoracoid cartilages completely fused" and "medial end of the coracoids wider than lateral end": FORD & CANNATELLA, 1993)

I found considerable morphological variation in the epicoracoid horns of frogs these can be fused or free from the sternum, short or long, rounded or thread-like, extending parallel to the lateral edge of the sternum or not The systematic value of these characters, and the independence from each other, is currently unknown.

RESUMEN

Varios autores consideran que el caracter "ausencia de cuernos epicoraciodales" es una sinapomofía del grupo (Ranoidea) que incluye a las familias Dendribatidae, Hyperolindae, Microhylidae, Ranidae y Rhacophoridae Sin embargo, se ha sugerido que esta condicción es pleisionofíca (esta presente en varios anuros que no son Ranoidea) y que los anuros Ranoidea tuenen cuernos epicoraciodales. Las cinturas pectorales de varios anuros Ranoidea y no Ranoidea fueron seccionados histologicamente y se encontro que todos tuenen cuernos

KAPLAN

epicoracoidales. La presencia de cuernos epicoracoidales en anuros Ranoidea sugiere que el caracter "ausencia de cuernos epicoracoidales" no es una sinapomorfia de este grupo.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank John D. Lynch and Arnold G. Kluge for helpful comments on the manuscript, Arnold G. Kluge and the late Pedro M. Ruic for loans of material, Greg Schneider for help in processing the specimens, John Wessman for providing histological facilities, John Megahan for helping with the illustrations.

LITERATURE CITED

- DE VILLIERS, C. G. S., 1924. On the anatomy of the breast-shoulder apparatus on Xenopus. Ann. Transvaul Mus., 10: 197-211.
- DUELLMAN, W. E. & TRUEB L., 1985. Biology of amphibians. New York, McGraw-Hill, "1986": i-xix + 1-670.
- FAWCETT, D. W., 1986. Bloom and Fawcett; a textbook of histology. Philadelphia, W. Saunders Company.
- FORD, L. & CANNATELLA, D. C., 1993. The major clades of frogs. Herp. Mon., 7: 94-117.
- GOSNER, K. L., 1960. A simplified table for staging anuran embryos and larvae with notes on identification. *Herpetologica*, 16: 183-190.
- GRANDISON, A. G. C., 1981. Morphology and phylogenetic position of the west African Didynamipus sjoestedti Andersson, 1903 (Anura Bufonidae), Monit. zool. ital., (n. s.), 15 (suppl.); 187-215.
- GRIFFITHS, I., 1957. The structure and development of the breast-shoulder apparatus in Amphibia, Salientia. PhD Thesis, London, University of London: 1-339.
- ----- 1960a, The phylogeny of Sminthillus limbatus and the status of the Brachycephalidae (Amphibia: Salientia). Proc. zool. Soc. London, 132: 457-487.
- ----- 1960b. The phylogenetic status of Sooglossinae. Ann. Mag. nat. Hist., (13), 2: 626-640.
- ----- 1963. The phylogeny of the Salientia. Biol. Rev., 38: 241-292.
- KAPLAN, M., 1994. Analysis of some long-standing controversies concerning the pectoral girdle of Atelopus (Bufonidae) using ontogenetic data. J. Herp., 28: 128-131.
- KLUGE, A. G. & FARRIS, J. S., 1969. Quantitative phyletics and the evolution of anurans. Syst. Zool., 18: 1-32.
- LYNCH, J. D., 1973. The transition from archaic to advanced frogs. In: J. L. VIAL (ed.), Evolutionary biology of the anuans: contemporary research on major problems, Columbia, University of Missouri Press: 133-182.
- LYNCH, J. D. & RUIZ-CARRANZA, P. M., 1982. A new genus and species of poison-dart frogs (Amphibia: Dendrobatidae) from the Andes of Northern Colombia. Proc. biol. Soc. Washington, 95: 557-562.
- MCDLARMID, R. W., 1971. Comparative morphology and evolution of frogs of the neotropical genera Attelopus, Denidrophryniscus, Melanophryniscus, and Oreophrynella, Nat. Hist. Mus. Los Angeles Co. Sci. Bull., 12: 1–66.
- MYERS, C. W. & FORD, L. S., 1986. On Atopophrymus, a recently described frog wrongly assigned to Dendrobatidae. Am. Mus. Novit., 2843: 1-15.
- RUIZ-CARRANZA, P. M. & HERNANDEZ-CAMACHO, J. I., 1976. Osornophryne, genero nuevo de anfibios bufonidos de Colombia y Ecuador. Caldasia, 11: 93-148.
- TRUEB, L., 1973. Bones, frogs, and evolution. In: J. L. VIAL (ed.), Evolutionary biology of the anurans: contemporary research on major problems, Columbia, University of Missouri Press; 65-132.

ALYTES 19 (2-4)

TYSON, H., 1987. – The structure and development of the anurans breast-shoulder apparatus, forelinth, and associated musculature. PhD Thesis, Edmonton, University of Alberta: 1-1275.WESNER, F. M., 1960. – General zoological microtechniques. Baltimore, Williams & Wilkins Co.

APPENDIX 1 Specimens examined

Roma blairi (UMMZ 224284-5); Colostethus subpuectatus (ICN 1882, 23308; UMMZ 2242858); Gastrophryne carolinensis (UMMZ 108418, 24286-7); Rhacophorus moltrechti (UMMZ 199719, 201289; Kassina senegalensis (UMMZ 151702, 210193); Oreophrynella quelchti (UMMZ 85141); Mednophryniscus stelaneri (UMMZ 165804); Brachycephalus ephippiam (UMMZ 103568); Dendrophryniscus brerjollicitatu (UMMZ 24307).

Corresponding editor: W. Ronald HEYER.

MANNI Paris

© ISSCA 2001